Peer Evaluation of Student Presentations/Reports/Seminars

Note: The contents of this evaluation form shall be used in determining the presentation grade of presentor and the class participation grade of evaluator.

Presentor:	Class:				
Topic/Title:	Date:				
Evaluator:					
Mark appropriate boxes with an x.	Not Applicable	Strongly Disagree 1	Disagree 2	e Agree	Strongly Agree 4
1. The presentor spoke clearly.					
2. Handwriting and presentation materials were clearly legible.					
3. The presentation was well researched.					
4. The presentation was rich in technical content.					
5. The presentor could present the material in his own words.					
6. The presentor communicated effectively with the audience.					
7. The presentor could discuss technical details in-depth.					
8. The manner of presentation encouraged class discussion.					
9. The presentor spoke in straight English.					
10. The presentation made good use of allocated time.					
Grade the presentation on a 10-pt scale (encircle one): $0(F)  1(F)  2(F)  3(F)  4(F)  5(D)$	6(C)	7(C+)	8(B)	9(B+)	10(A)
Justify the grade; explain how the grade was arrived at: (note to evaluator: This may affect your participation grade)					
Which part of the presentation, if any, was irrelevant or alread did not have to be presented? Please explain.	y well-kno	own to n	nost of tl	he audier	ice, that it
What additional information, if any, should have been presented. Please explain.	ed to help	you und	erstand	the mate	rial better?